

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/775,986	02/02/2001	Arthur Blank	Blank et alPA-1 7259		
7590 02/12/2004			EXAMINER		
Royal W. Crai	g	CHEN, CHONGSHAN			
Law Offices of	Royal W. Craig				
10 North Celver	t Street	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Suite 153 Baltimore, MD 21202			2172		
			DATE MAILED: 02/12/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

7

				/0
Advisory Action		Application No.	Applicant(s)	$\overline{}$
		09/775,986	BLANK ET AL.	(
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Chongshan Chen	2172	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addr	9SS
Therefo final rej conditio	EPLY FILED 29 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE re, further action by the applicant is required to a ection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 in for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	evoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment wh al (with appeal fee); or (3) a tim	cation. A proper repich places the applic	lly to a ation in
	PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
have beer 37 CFR 1 (b) above	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Insigns of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extending is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1, sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. So 136(a) and the appropriate e fee. The appropriate exte the final Office action; or (e extension fee ension fee under (2) as set forth in
	A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>29 January 2004</u> . 7 7 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			forth in
2. X	he proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a)	oxtimes they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b)	\square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note i	below);		
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or s	implifying the
(d)	they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clain	ns.
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec			
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed	I amendment
	The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NC	T place the
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	f to issues which we	re newly
	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
٦	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:		
	Claim(s) allowed:			
	Claim(s) objected to:			
,	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4, 7-12 and 15-19</u> .			
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. 🗌 1	The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	11 1
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme Other:	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
ا لــا،١٠٠	Ouici		ALFORD KIN PRIMARY EX	

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/775,986



Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed claim limitations "determining a ratio of the numerical scores to a maximum possible score and, for each characteristic category, averaging said ratios within category and expressing the average as a percentage match for each category, totaling the ratios of numerical scores to give an overall numeric score; and, using the percentages for each category and the overall numeric scores to sort and prioritize said other entities' in order match the user entity to the closest other entity" in claim 1 are not entered because they require further consideration and search.

The proposed claim limitations "scoring each category by averaging the ratios of each numerical score for a particular data element to the total possible score for that data element and expressing said average as a percentage match for said category" and "using the percentages for each category and the overall numerical scores to sort and prioritize said profiles" in claim 12 are not entered because they require further consideration and search.